

Perspectives on *EGFR*-mutant and wildtype NSCLC: Tailoring treatment advances in late-stage disease

Clinical summary for Module 1: EGFR-mutant NSCLC

For more information, visit: www.touchRESPIRATORY.com

Advances in EGFR-mutant NSCLC to address unmet needs

Research to focus on more personalized and effective therapies



"More than half of patients will develop brain metastases or leptomeningeal disease and these are sites of metastatic disease that are associated with a lot of morbidity and mortality in our patients, so understanding how effective novel therapies are is key."

Key areas for research



Overcoming resistance mechanisms¹

- Understand specific resistance mutations
- Role of dual inhibitor approaches



Novel therapeutic strategies¹

- Role of upfront combination strategies
- Assessment of risk-benefit ratio (e.g.



Biomarker development²

- Identify markers to monitor/ predict treatment response
- Methods to detect resistance early



Addressing CNS metastases³

 Inclusion of patients with CNS metastases in clinical trials to assess intercranial activity

Faculty and topics

Dr Helena Yu, CD presented on how the clinical trial landscape is evolving to address unmet needs in the metastatic setting



Key takeaways for ongoing research

- Ensure inclusion of diverse patient populations in clinical trials to improve generalizability of results
- Apply advances in risk stratification to inform risk-adaptive treatment strategies



EGFR-mutant NSCLC: Key clinical trial data in the first line

Combination approaches

Phase III: FLAURA24

Osimertinib ± Pt-ChT vs osimertinib

- Overall PFS was significantly longer in the combination group vs monotherapy (HR 0.62; 95% CI, 0.49–0.79; p<0.001)
- PFS benefit with the combination was consistent across subgroups, including CNS metastases
- There was a higher incidence of grade ≥3 adverse events and haematological effects in the combination group vs osimertinib

Phase III MARIPOSA^{5,6}

Amivantamab + lazertinib vs osimertinib

- Median PFS was improved in the combination group vs osimertinib alone (HR 0.70; 95% CI, 0.58–0.85; p<0.001)
- PFS benefit with the combination was consistent across all subgroups, including CNS metastases
- There were higher incidences of grade ≥3 adverse events and VTE in the combination group vs osimertinib

Faculty and topics

Dr Antonio Passaro
presented on key clinical
trial results reported in the
last 12 months in both the
first and second line



Secondary analysis: mPFS in high-risk groups⁷

• PFS outcomes were better among high-risk patients (including brain and liver metastases, and *TP53* co-mutations) who received amivantamab + lazertinib vs osimertinib



EGFR-mutant NSCLC: Key clinical trial data in second and later line

Novel agents

Phase II HERTHENA-Lung018

Patritumab deruxtecan (post osimertinib and ChT)

- Overall response rate in patients with CNS disease was similar to that in all patients (28.7% vs 29.8%)
- Most common grade ≥3
 adverse events were
 haematological toxicities
- HERTHENA-Lung02⁹
 phase II trial ongoing in patients progressing on a third-generation EGFR TKI

Phase III HARMONi-A^{10*}

Ivonescimab + ChT vs ChT (post EGFR-TKI)

- PFS was significantly improved in the combination arm vs ChT (HR 0.46; 95% CI, 0.34–0.62; p<0.0001)
 - PFS benefit also observed in patients who: progressed on a third-generation EGFR TKI; had brain metastases; possessed an EGFR deletion 19 or T790M mutation
 - Incidences of grade ≥3 adverse events higher in the combination group vs ChT

Combination approaches

Phase III MARIPOSA-2¹²

IV amivantamab + Pt-ChT ± lazertinib vs Pt-ChT (post osimertinib)

- PFS was significantly longer with amivantamab + ChT vs Pt-ChT (HR 0.48; 95% CI, 0.36–0.64; p<0.001) and in patients with intracranial disease (HR 0.52; 95% CI, 0.35–0.78)
- Incidence of grade ≥3
 adverse events higher in the
 amivantamab + Pt-ChT
 group vs Pt-ChT

Phase III PALOMA-3¹³

SC vs IV amivantamab + lazertinib (post osimertinib and ChT)

- sc administration
 demonstrated
 noninferiority
 pharmacokinetics compared
 to IV administration: the
 change in C_{trough} and
 AUC_{D1-D15} remained similar
 between groups
- Higher incidences of any grade IRRs and VTEs in IV group vs SC



EGFR-mutant NSCLC: Implications of latest data for clinical practice

Evolving therapeutic options in advanced/metastatic *EGFR***-mutant NSCLC**



"I think in the near future, there will be more complexity in the various options that we might have... It is key to continue to follow closely new data as it comes out and to figure out what would be the appropriate biomarkers to help us pick which patients for which different combination therapies."

Current and future strategies



NCCN/ESMO Guidelines^{14,15}

- Osimertinib is the preferred 1L option
- In 2L, treat based on resistance mechanisms (clinical trial) or standard of care Pt-ChT



Novel 1L strategies¹

- Know when to escalate or de-escalate treatment according to adaptive response
- Role of prognostic markers



Novel 2L strategies^{8,10,11}

- Amivantamab+ ChT
- Ivonescimab+ ChT
- Patritumab deruxtecan (HER3-DXd)



High-risk groups⁴⁻⁶

Assess CNS
 efficacy (and
 efficacy in
 high-risk
 subgroups)
 evaluated in
 clinical trials
 for novel
 therapies

Faculty and topics

Dr Helena Yu, CD
presented a clinical case and
discussed the current and
evolving treatment options
for improved outcomes



Key takeaways for clinical practice

- Clinical trials in the first line focus on combination therapies
- Clinical trials in the second line focus on adding agents to the standard of care, chemotherapy



Abbreviations and references

Abbreviations

1/2L, first-/second-line; AUC_{D1-D15}, area under the curve from cycle-2 day-1 to day-15; CD, course director; ChT, chemotherapy; CI, confidence interval; CNS, central nervous system; C_{trough}, trough concentrations; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; HR, hazard ratio; IRR, infusion-related reaction; IV, intravenous; m, median; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; OS, overall survival; Pt-ChT, platinum-based ChT; PD, progressive disease; PFS, progression-free survival; SC, subcutaneous; TKI, tyrosine kinase inhibitor; VTE, venous thromboembolism.

References

- 1. Saw SPL, et al. Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book. 2024;44:e432516.
- 2. de Jager VD, et al. *Lancet Reg Health Eur*. 2024;38:100838.
- 3. Jennings EM, et al. Clin Lung Cancer. 2024;25:91–9.
- 4. Planchard D, et al. N Engl J Med. 2023;389:1935–48.
- 5. Cho BC, et al. Presented at: 2023 ESMO Congress, Madrid, Spain. 20–25 October 2023. Abstr. LBA14.
- 6. Brazel D, Nagasaka M. Lung Cancer (Auckl). 2024;15:41–7.
- 7. Felip E, et al. *Ann Oncol.* 2024. doi: 10.1016/j.annonc.2024.05.541 [Epub ahead of print].
- 8. Yu HA, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2023;41:5363-75.
- 9. ClinicalTrials.gov. NCT05338970. Available at: www.clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT05338970 (accessed 30 August 2024).

- 10. HARMONi-A Study Investigators. JAMA. 2024;332:561-70.
- 11. ClinicalTrials.gov. NCT05184712. Available at: www.clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT05184712 (accessed 30 August 2024).
- 12. Passaro A, et al. Ann Oncol. 2024;35:77–90.
- 13. Leighl NB, et al. *J Clin Oncol*. 2024. doi: 10.1200/JCO.24.01001 (Epub ahead of print).
- 14. NCCN. NSCLC. V6.2024. Available at: www.nccn.org (accessed 30 August 024).
- 15. Hendriks LE, et al. Ann Oncol. 2023;34:339-57.

The guidance provided by this clinical summary is not intended to directly influence patient care. Clinicians should always evaluate their patients' conditions and potential contraindications and review any relevant manufacturer product information or recommendations of other authorities prior to consideration of procedures, medications or other courses of diagnosis or therapy included here.

Our clinical summary coverage does not constitute implied endorsement of any product(s) or use(s). touchONCOLOGY cannot guarantee the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of any information, and cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions.

